IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
	Plaintiff,) Case Number 8:12CR294
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER)
LEONARDO ORTEGA,))
	Defendant.	,
A.	Bail Reform Act, the Court orders pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) X After the defendant waived a defendant	tention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § ne Court orders the above-named defendant
B.	conditions will reasonably assure required. X By clear and convincing evidence	
C.	that which was contained in the Pretrial X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: Reentry of serious crime and car imprisonment. (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves	f Removed Alien after Felony Conviction is a cries a maximum penalty of 10 years e of violence.
	X (3) The history and characteristi (a) General Factors: The defendar may affect wl	against the defendant is high. ics of the defendant including: nt appears to have a mental condition which hether the defendant will appear. nt has no family ties in the area.

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

	 X X The defendant has no steady employment. X The defendant has no substantial financial resources. The defendant is not a long time resident of the community. The defendant does not have any significant community ties. Past conduct of the defendant:
	The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse. The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. X The defendant has a significant prior criminal record. The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings.
	(b) At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
	Probation Parole
	Supervised Release
	Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
	(c) Other Factors:X The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
	deportation.
	The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to
	deportation if convicted. X The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
	Other:
X (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:
	Prior removals - 2000 and 2011;
	Felony conviction-Terroristic Threat 2011
(5) Rebuttable Presumptions
•	In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also
	relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
	(a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
	assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the
	safety of any other person and the community because the Court
	finds that the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or
	(1) A cliffle of violence, of (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
	imprisonment or death; or

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3 (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe: (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more.

(2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: September 21, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge